#### <span id="page-0-0"></span>Ultrasound Nerve Segmentation



#### Jia-Wei Liao, Kuok-Tong Ng, Yi-Cheng Hung

Team 25 OPML Department of Applied Mathematics, NYCU

January 6, 2022

J.-W., K.-T., Y.-C. (OPML) [VRDL Final Project \(Team 25\)](#page-20-0) January 6, 2022 1 / 21

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

э

#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>**Introduction**

- **Dataset:** Ultrasound Nerve Segmentation contain 5635 training and 5508 testing grayscale image. The size of the image is (580, 420).
- Goal: Automatically segment Brachial Plexus (BP) nerve structures in ultrasound images of the neck.



イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

#### **Metrics**

#### Dice Similarity Coefficient (DSC)

Let TP be the true positive, FP be the false positive and FN be the false negative. The DSC is defined as

$$
DSC = \frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN}
$$



イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

### Data Explore

• Number of training masks with and without BP are 2323 and 3185, respectively, which caused data imbalanced.



The box plot shows the pixels count in the mask with BP. The minimum value is 2684.



#### The Difficulties

- Brachial Plexus does not exist in a most masks  $(58.8\%)$ .
- Annotators were trained by experts.
- Identical images but different masks.

#### Similar images Same images



イロメ イ部メ イヨメ イヨメー

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>**Approach**

We will introduce the following approach:

- Data Pre-processing
- Erosion Mask Smoothing
- Model Architecture
- **•** Segmentation Loss
- Adaptive Single Model Ensemble

E K

э

#### Data Pre-processing

- **•** Data Cleansing
- Splitting training and validation sets in 4:1
- Resize the image from (580,420) to (576,448)
- Randomly flips
- Randomly adjust brightness
- Randomly add noise

э.

∢ □ ▶ ◀ <sup>□</sup> ▶ ◀

э

[Approach](#page-5-0)

### Erosion Mask Smoothing (EMS)

Let  $M$  be the mask,  $\mathcal E$  be the interior region after eroding mask, and  $p$  be the pixel in the mask.

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(p) = \begin{cases}\n1 - \varepsilon, & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{E} \\
1, & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{E} \\
0, & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{M}^c\n\end{cases}
$$





• In this task, we choose UNet as our model architecture.



Original architecture



Topological structure

 $4$  ロ }  $4$   $\overline{m}$  }  $4$   $\overline{m}$  }  $4$   $\overline{m}$  }

э

 $QQ$ 

- Any backbone with downsampling steps can be treated as an encoder.
- Use a pretrained backbone in order to get a better result. (e.g. ResNet, EfficientNet, ec.)
- Treat the last stage of the backbone as the Bridge.



◂**◻▸ ◂◚▸** 

- Follow the original UNet architecture except replacing "Up-convolution" by "nearest interpolation".
- Each decoder stage: Interpolation+concatenation+(Conv+ReLU)\*2
- Apply  $1x1$  convolution layer in the final to predict the class.



◂**◻▸ ◂◚▸** 

#### • Therefore, the model architecture looks like



### Segmentation Loss

• Dice Loss: Focused on object region

$$
\mathcal{L}_{DSC}(\hat{Y}, Y) = 1 - \frac{2|Y \cap \hat{Y}|}{|Y| + |\hat{Y}|},
$$

**• Focal Loss:** Adjusted the probability distribution of prediction

$$
\mathcal{L}_{FL}(\hat{Y}, Y) = -\sum_{i,j} \alpha \left(1 - \hat{Y}_{i,j,C}\right)^{\gamma} \log \hat{Y}_{i,j,C}
$$

**• Segmentation Loss:** 

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Seg}(\hat{Y}, Y) = \mathcal{L}_{DSC}(\hat{Y}, Y) + \mathcal{L}_{FL}(\hat{Y}, Y)
$$

### Adaptive Single Model Ensemble

Let  $T_k$  be the transforms, I be the image, and f is segmentation model.

**Step 1:** Predicted  $\{I, T_1(I), T_2(I), T_3(I)\}$ . Then we have

 $\{f(I), f(T_1(I)), f(T_2(I)), f(T_3(I))\}$ 

#### **Step 2:** Compute the dice adjacency matrix



KED KARD KED KED E VOOR

#### Adaptive Single Model Ensemble



**Step 3:** Solving the eigenvector of A w.r.t the largest eigenvalue

$$
v = [0.5810 \quad 0 \quad 0.5341 \quad 0.6141]^\top
$$

**Step 4:** take  $v$  to the softmax

 $[c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3]^\top = [0.3291 \quad 0.0227 \quad 0.2651 \quad 0.3831]^\top$ 

Step 5: Weighted sum

۰

$$
\hat{Y} = c_0 f(I) + \sum_{k=1}^3 c_k (T^{-1} \circ f \circ T)(I)
$$

#### **[Experience](#page-15-0)**

## <span id="page-15-0"></span>Experiment Result



#### EfficientNet-b1+EMS





J.-W., K.-T., Y.-C. (OPML) [VRDL Final Project \(Team 25\)](#page-0-0) January 6, 2022 16 / 21

 $299$ 

#### Experiment Result

The following table shows model scores with different backbone.



- **ResNet34 and ResNet50's loss are not stable.**
- EfficientNet-b1 perform better than the other encoder.
- The baseline in this task is 0.70753.

イロト イ母ト イヨト イヨト

### ASME Result

The table shows the improvement after applying model ensemble.



• Implementing this ASME increases private scores by  $1 \sim 3\%$ .

• The baseline in this task is 0.70753.

イロト イ母ト イヨト イヨト

÷.

### <span id="page-18-0"></span>Conclusion

- **1** Proposed Erosion Mask Smoothing to maintain loss stability.
- **2** Apply UNet based model in this task.
- **3** Proposed Adaptive Single Model Ensemble which can adaptive the weight of aggregation by itself.
- <sup>4</sup> Comparing the result with different combinations of encoder and ASME.
- **•** Combining UNet with EfficientNet-b1 as encoder, EMS and ASME, we obtain a best private dice score 0.72341.



イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨ トー

- <sup>1</sup> Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun, Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition, CVPR, 2015.
- **2** Tsung-Yi Lin, Priya Goyal, Ross Girshick, Kaiming He, Piotr Dollár, Focal Loss for Dense Object Detection, CVPR, 2017.
- <sup>3</sup> Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation, CVPR, 2015.
- <sup>4</sup> Mingxing Tan, and Quoc V. Le, EfficientNet: Rethinking Model Scaling for Convolutional Neural Networks, ICML, 2019.

G.

 $QQ$ 

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨ トー

<span id="page-20-0"></span>

# Thank you for your attention.

J.-W., K.-T., Y.-C. (OPML) [VRDL Final Project \(Team 25\)](#page-0-0) January 6, 2022 21 / 21

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ ト** 

 $\Omega$ 

G.