Low Rank Matrix Factorization for Recommender System



Jia-Wei Liao*, Kuok-Tong Ng, Yi-Cheng Hung

Department of Applied Mathematics National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University

December 29, 2021

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

Low Rank Matrix Factorization

December 29, 2021

Outline

Introduction

Preliminaries

- Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
- Matrix Norm

3 Approacl

- Alternative Least Square (ALS)
- Soft Impute Alternative Least Square (SIALS)

Experience and Result

- ml-1m
- ml-10m

5 Summary

э

2/41

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Introduction to Recommender System

A competition held by Netflix in 2006.

- 100,480,570 ratings that 480,189 users gave to 17,770 movies.
- 10% improvement then gain 1 million dollar prize.



Introduction to Recommender System



J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

Low Rank Matrix Factorization

December 29, 2021

Idea of Low-rank Approximation

								MATRIX
	Action			0.2	1.2	1.8	1.8	
	Romance			1.68	0.5	0.1	0.3	
	Science Fiction			0.2	1.8	0.6	1.6	
	Fantasy		0.48	0.8	0.4	0.4		
Sam	0.8	1.6	1.8	1.2				
Jack	1.1	0.3	1.2	1.6		5.07		
Andy	1.3	0.5	1.6	0.8				
	A.	R.	S.	F.				

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

イロン イヨン イヨン

3

Idea of Low-rank Approximation

Given $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is rating matrix, where *m* is number of user, and *n* is number of movie. Our goal is going to find the feature vector of user x_i and feature vector of movie y_i such that

$$r_{i,j} \approx x_i^\top y_j$$
, for all i, j



Problem

Given $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is rating matrix, Our goal is going to find the user matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}$ and feature matrix $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ such that

 $R \approx X^{\top} Y.$



J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

7/41

A B A B A B A B A B A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A

Outline

Preliminaries

- Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
- Matrix Norm

- Alternative Least Square (ALS)
- Soft Impute Alternative Least Square (SIALS)

- ml-1m
- ml-10m

э

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

SVD

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Then there exist orthogonal matrices $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $U^{\top}AV = \Sigma$ is a diagonal matrix, where

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sigma_i, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}, \text{ with } \sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_r > 0, \end{cases}$$

and $r = \operatorname{rank}(A)$.

3

9/41

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Separates A into r pieces rank 1 by SVD

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & u_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & O_{r \times (n-r)} \\ \hline & & \sigma_r & & \\ \hline & & O_{(m-r) \times r} & & O_{(m-r) \times (n-r)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ v_n^\top \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i u_i v_i^\top.$$

Rank k approximate

Let
$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i u_i v_i^{\top}$$
. If *B* has rank *k*, then

$$||A - A_k||_* \le ||A - B||_*.$$

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

December 29, 2021

Matrix Norm

Matrix Norm

Matrix norm

O Spectral norm:

$$\|A\|_{2} = \max_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_{2}}{\|x\|_{2}} = \sigma_{1},$$

2 Frobenius norm:

$$||A||_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{ij}|^2} = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_r^2},$$

Suclear norm:

$$||A||_N = \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_r.$$

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

3

11/41

Outline

Introduction

2 Preliminaries

- Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
- Matrix Norm

3 Approach

- Alternative Least Square (ALS)
- Soft Impute Alternative Least Square (SIALS)

Experience and Result

- ml-1m
- ml-10m

5 Summary

12/41

Idea

Rank k approximation

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq k < r$.

$$R = U\Sigma V^{\top} \approx U_k D_k^2 V_k = (D_k U_k^{\top})^{\top} (D_k V_k^{\top}) = X^{\top} Y$$

where $\tilde{U} = [u_1, ..., u_k]$, $\tilde{V} = [v_1, ..., v_k]$ and $D = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\sigma_1}, ..., \sqrt{\sigma_k})$.

Question

Does it work on the largest dataset?

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

13/41

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Matrix Factorization

First, We consider the minimization problem with regularization.

$$\min_{X,Y} \|R - X^{\top}Y\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \left(\|X\|_{F}^{2} + \|Y\|_{F}^{2}\right),$$

where

•
$$R \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$$
, $X \in \mathbb{R}^{k imes m}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k imes n}$,

• $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter.

Remark

$$\min_{\substack{X \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}}} \|R - X^{\top}Y\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \left(\|X\|_{F}^{2} + \|Y\|_{F}^{2}\right)$$

=
$$\min_{Z: \operatorname{rank} Z \leq k} \|R - Z\|_{F}^{2} + 2\lambda \|Z\|_{*}.$$

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

December 29, 2021

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

14 / 41

э

Alternative Least Square (ALS)

Given initials X_0, Y_0 , for t = 0, 1, 2, ..., we solve the following two sub-problem alternatingly:

$$X_{t+1} = \arg\min_{X} ||R - X^{\top}Y_{t}||_{F}^{2} + \lambda ||X||_{F}^{2},$$

$$Y_{t+1} = \arg\min_{Y} ||R - X_{t+1}^{\top}Y||_{F}^{2} + \lambda ||Y||_{F}^{2}.$$

We iterate until convergence.

Solving Alternative Least Square

Define

$$F(X) = \|R^{\top} - Y_t^{\top}X\|_F^2 + \lambda \|X\|_F^2.$$

Then

$$\nabla F(X) = -2Y_t(R^{\top} - Y_t^{\top}X) + 2\lambda X.$$

Let $\nabla F(X) = 0$, we have

$$(Y_t Y_t^{\top} + \lambda I) X = Y_t R^{\top}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the solution

$$X_{t+1} = (Y_t Y_t^\top + \lambda I)^{-1} Y_t R^\top.$$

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

3

Solving Alternative Least Square

Similarly, we can get

$$Y_{t+1} = (X_{t+1}X_{t+1}^{\top} + \lambda I)^{-1}X_{t+1}R.$$

Therefore, the iterative scheme can be posed as follows:

•
$$X_{t+1} = (Y_t Y_t^{\top} + \lambda I)^{-1} Y_t R^{\top},$$

• $Y_{t+1} = (X_{t+1} X_{t+1}^{\top} + \lambda I)^{-1} X_{t+1} R.$

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

Matrix Factorization

Let $\Omega = \{(i, j) \mid R_{i,j} > 0\}$ and

$$[\mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(A)]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} A_{i,j} & \text{ if } (i,j) \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{ if } (i,j) \notin \Omega \end{cases}.$$

Problem

$$\min_{X,Y} \|\mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R-X^{\top}Y)\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda\left(\|X\|_{F}^{2} + \|Y\|_{F}^{2}\right),$$

where

•
$$R \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$$
, $X \in \mathbb{R}^{k imes m}$, and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k imes n}$

• $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter.

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

3

18/41

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Matrix Factorization

Problem

$$\min_{X,Y} \|\mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R - X^{\top}Y)\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \left(\|X\|_{F}^{2} + \|Y\|_{F}^{2}\right).$$

Its equivalence to

$$\min_{x_{i},y_{j}} \sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega} (r_{i,j} - x_{i}^{\top}y_{j})^{2} + \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|x_{i}\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|y_{j}\|_{2}^{2} \right),$$

where $x_i, y_j \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times 1}$.

э

19/41

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Solving Alternative Least Square

For fixed i, we define

$$F(x_i) = \sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega} (r_{i,j} - y_j^\top x_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m ||x_i||_2^2.$$

Then

$$abla F(x_i) = -2\sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega} y_j(r_{i,j} - y_j^{ op} x_i) + 2\lambda x_i.$$

Letting $\nabla F(x_i) = 0$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega} y_j y_j^\top + \lambda I\right) x_i = r_{i,j} y_j.$$

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

æ

20 / 41

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Solving Alternative Least Square

Algorithm (ALS)

- **1** Initial $x_1, x_2, ..., x_m, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$.
- **②** For *i* = 1, 2, ..., *m*:

$$\left(\sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega} y_j y_j^\top + \lambda I\right) x_i = r_{i,j} y_j.$$

● For *j* = 1, 2, ..., *n*:

$$\left(\sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega} x_i x_i^\top + \lambda I\right) y_j = r_{i,j} x_i.$$

Repeat 2, 3 until convergence.

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

December 29, 2021

3. 3

Summary of ALS

- **1** The left-hand side of the linear system is sum of the rank 1 matrix.
- 2 There are (m+n) linear systems with $k \times k$.
- **③** The time complexity of the direct method is $O(|\Omega|k^2 + (m+n)k^3)$.

Soft Impute Alternative Least Square (SIALS)

Problem

$$\min_{X,Y} \|\mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R - X^{\top}Y)\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \left(\|X\|_{F}^{2} + \|Y\|_{F}^{2}\right).$$

Notice that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R - X^{\top}Y) = \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R) - \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(X^{\top}Y) + X^{\top}Y - X^{\top}Y.$$

Let

$$\tilde{R} = \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R) - \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(X^{\top}Y) + X^{\top}Y.$$

Then the problem becomes

$$\min_{X,Y} \|\tilde{R} - X^{\top}Y\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \left(\|X\|_{F}^{2} + \|Y\|_{F}^{2} \right).$$

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

Image: A image: A

3

23/41

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

Soft Impute Alternative Least Square (SIALS)

SIALS method

Given an initial X_0, Y_0 , for t = 0, 1, ..., we solve the following two sub-problem alternatingly:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}_{t+\frac{1}{2}} &= \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R) - \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(X_{t}^{\top}Y_{t}) + X_{t}^{\top}Y_{t}, \\ X_{t+1} &= \left(Y_{t}Y_{t}^{\top} + \lambda I\right)^{-1}Y_{t}\tilde{R}_{t+\frac{1}{2}}^{\top}, \\ \tilde{R}_{t+1} &= \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R) - \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(X_{t+1}^{\top}Y_{t}) + X_{t+1}^{\top}Y_{t}, \\ Y_{t+1} &= \left(X_{t+1}X_{t+1}^{\top} + \lambda I\right)^{-1}X_{t+1}\tilde{R}_{t+1}. \end{split}$$

We iterate until convergence is achieved.

Solving SIALS by SVD

Idea (rank k approximation) ¹

$$R = U\Sigma V^{\top} \approx U_k D_k^2 V_k = (D_k U_k^{\top})^{\top} (D_k V_k^{\top}) = X^{\top} Y.$$

Goal

Given $U_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ with orthonormal columns, $D_0 = I_{k \times k}$, and $V_0 = O_{n \times k}$. We use the Iterative method to find the suitable $X = D_t U_t^{\top}$, $Y = D_t V_t^{\top}$ such that

$$\min_{X,Y} \|P_{\Omega}(R - X^{\top}Y)\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda \left(\|X\|_{F}^{2} + \|Y\|_{F}^{2}\right).$$

¹T. Hastie, R. Mazumder, J.-D. Lee and R. Zadeh, Matrix Completion and Low-Rank SVD via Fast Alternating Least Squares, Journal of Machine Learning Research (2015).

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

Low Rank Matrix Factorization

Soft Impute ALS by SVD

Given $U_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ with orthonormal columns, $D_0 = I_{k \times k}$, and $V_0 = O_{k \times k}$. Let $X_0 = D_0 U_0^{\top}$ and $Y_0 = D_0 V_0^{\top}$. For t = 0, 1, ... do

 $\tilde{R}_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R) - \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(X_t^{\top}Y_t) + X_t^{\top}Y_t$

Solution Find the SVD of $D_t X_{t+\frac{1}{2}}$, then get $U_{t+1}, D_{t+\frac{1}{2}}$

3
$$X_{t+1} = D_{t+\frac{1}{2}} U_{t+1}^{\top}$$

 $\widetilde{R}_{t+1} = \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R) - \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(X_{t+1}^{\top}Y_t) + X_{t+1}^{\top}Y_t$

•
$$Y_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \left(X_{t+1}X_{t+1}^{\top} + \lambda I\right)^{-1} X_{t+1}\tilde{R}_{t+1}$$

- Find the SVD of $D_{t+\frac{1}{2}}Y_{t+\frac{1}{2}}$, then get V_{t+1}, D_{t+1}
- **3** $Y_{t+1} = D_{t+1} V_{t+1}^{\top}$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Soft Impute ALS

Let
$$S = \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R) - \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(X_t^{\top}Y_t)$$
. We have $\tilde{R} = S + X_t^{\top}Y_t$.
We use $X_t = D_t U_t^{\top}$ and $Y_t = D_t V_t^{\top}$ to plug in equation 2. Then

$$X_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = (D_t^2 + \lambda I)^{-1} D_t V_t^{\top} S^{\top} + (D_t^2 + \lambda I)^{-1} D_t^2 X_t.$$

Similarly, we also have

$$Y_{t+\frac{1}{2}} = \left(D_{t+\frac{1}{2}}^2 + \lambda I\right)^{-1} D_{t+\frac{1}{2}} U_{t+1}^{\top} S + \left(D_{t+\frac{1}{2}}^2 + \lambda I\right)^{-1} D_{t+\frac{1}{2}}^{2} Y_t.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

2

Solving SIALS by SVD

Algorithm (SIALS)

Initial: $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$ with orthonormal columns, $D = I_{k \times k}$, $V = O_{n \times k}$, $X = DU^{\top}$ and $Y = DV^{\top}$.

$$S \leftarrow \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R) - \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(X^{\top}Y)$$

- $\widetilde{X} \leftarrow \left(D^2 + \lambda I\right)^{-1} DV^{\top}S^{\top} + \left(D^2 + \lambda I\right)^{-1} D^2X$
- $U, \tilde{D} \leftarrow \text{SVD}(D\tilde{X}), X \leftarrow \tilde{D}U^{\top}$

$$S \leftarrow \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(R) - \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}(X^{\top}Y)$$

- $\tilde{Y} \leftarrow (D^2 + \lambda I)^{-1} D U^{\top} S + (D^2 + \lambda I)^{-1} D^2 Y$
- $O , V \leftarrow \mathrm{SVD}(\tilde{D}\tilde{Y}), \ Y \leftarrow \tilde{D}V^{\top}$
- 8 Repeat 2-7 until convergence.
- Output: U, V, D

28 / 41

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Outline

- Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
- Matrix Norm

- Alternative Least Square (ALS)
- Soft Impute Alternative Least Square (SIALS)

Experience and Result

- ml-1m
- ml-10m

Image: A matrix and a matrix

э

Information of Dataset: ml-1m

- number of data: 900,188
- number of user: 6,040
- number of movie: 3,952
- sparsity: 3.77%
- train-test split: 9 to 1



∃ →

ml-1m

Time Elapsed



J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

December 29, 2021

3

Objective



32 / 41

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Metrics

We use the following metrics to evaluate our methods:

Q Root Mean Square Error (RMSE):

$$\text{RMSE}_{\Omega}(X,Y) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega} |X_{i,j} - Y_{i,j}|^2}{|\Omega|}}$$

Mean Absolute Error (MAE):

$$MAE_{\Omega}(X,Y) = \frac{\sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega} |X_{i,j} - Y_{i,j}|}{|\Omega|}$$

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

э

33 / 41

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Evaluation



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Evaluation

Metrics	RMSE		MAE	
Туре	Training	Testing	Training	Testing
ALS	0.5542	0.9545	0.4363	0.7385
SIALS (w/o SVD)	0.5745	0.9624	0.4517	0.7441
SIALS (w/ SVD)	0.8928	0.9099	0.7017	0.7143

 $\lambda = 5$, d = 50 with 600 epochs

Metrics	RMSE		MAE	
Туре	Training	Testing	Training	Testing
ALS	0.7288	0.8778	0.5727	0.6889
SIALS (w/o SVD)	0.7330	0.8820	0.5749	0.6913
SIALS (w/ SVD)	0.8980	0.9147	0.7101	0.7229

 $\lambda = 20$, d = 50 with 600 epochs

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

35 / 41

3

ml-10m dataset

- number of data: 9,000,048
- number of user: 71,567
- number of movie: 65,133
- sparsity: 0.19%
- train-test split: 9 to 1

Metrics	RMSE		MAE	
Туре	Training	Testing	Training	Testing
ALS	0.7287	0.8453	0.5453	0.6479
SIALS (w/ SVD)	0.9301	0.9454	0.7171	0.7286

 $\lambda = 50$, d = 100 with 500 epochs

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

э

Prediction of SIALS

We compute $\hat{r}_{i,j} = x_i^\top y_j$, and list the prediction as the following:

(user, movie)	prediction	rounding	rating
(308, 1707)	1.5211	2	2
(990, 89)	2.7883	3	3
(2247, 2291)	4.0016	4	3
(2454, 595)	3.8591	4	3
(2853, 3363)	3.7680	4	4
(3067, 703)	1.0212	2	1
(3317, 3793)	4.1885	4	4
(3727, 2259)	2.2826	2	2
(4796, 2761)	4.1454	4	4
(5451, 969)	4.4651	4	5

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ ≥
 December 29, 2021

Outline

Introduction

2 Preliminaries

- Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
- Matrix Norm

3 Approacl

- Alternative Least Square (ALS)
- Soft Impute Alternative Least Square (SIALS)

Experience and Result

- ml-1m
- ml-10m

5 Summary

э

38 / 41

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Summary

In this project, we implement ALS, SIALS to do the matrix factorization. We use the direct method and CG to solve ALS and use SVD to solve SIALS and then apply it to the recommender system.

Further Topics:

- Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)
- Nonnegative Matrix Factorization (NMF)

Reference

- Trevor Hastie, Rahul Mazumder, Jason D. Lee and Reza Zadeh, Matrix Completion and Low-Rank SVD via Fast Alternating Least Squares, Journal of Machine Learning Research 16 (2015), 3367-3402.
- Yehuda Koren, Robert Bell and Chris Volinsky, Matrix Factorization Techniques for Recommender Systems, *IEEE* Computer Society 42 (2009), 30-37.

Summary



Thanks for listening!

J.-W. Liao et. al. (NYCU)

Low Rank Matrix Factorization

December 29, 2021

э

41 / 41

(日)